| | | |

RHODIAN GRID. PERG. PERIOD

(cf. → of Period I (Hellin I) – are mixed in Perg. deposits)

(H 206 – 201/5, (I))

	Ἀγαθόμβροτος H	Δορκυλίδας H 219	(Θαρσιπολις) I 207	(Σώδαμος) I 207	(Σώστρατος) I 206	Κλεοκράτης 206
Ἀγαθοκλῆς I (prob. w. joining leaf)	1 2		6			18
Ἀριστίων		3	9	10 bis		19
Δαμοκράτης w. rosette O		4				
Θέων w. rosette O		5				
Ἀγησίδας			7			
Ἀγεσάνακτος w. H head & eagle			8	10		
					16	
Διος				11		20
Κλεομβροτίδας				12		
Σωκράτης w. bird				13–15	17	21, 22

Ἀριστοκράτης (6)

9 bis

7.IX.71

1.02

	Ἰσοκράτης	Δημοσθένης	Θεόφραστος	Πασιφάνης	Ξενοφάνης	Κεφίσιος (2)	Ἱέρων	Φιλίσκος	Τιμαγόρας	Κλεώνυμος	? Ἀρχεκράτης II	[illegible]
					Διόκλ.							
Ἀγαθοκλῆς I										162		
(κτίστ. ω. [illegible])										Δάμοτιμος		
(Ἀριστείων)	236											
Δημοκράτης ω. [illegible] – ○		25		32				152		164		
(Θέων " " ")												
Ἀγγείδας	23		26									
Ἀγορίνας ω. Η. [illegible]				30	38	60						
Δίος			29									
(Κλεισμαρετίδας)												
Ξενοκράτης ω. [illegible]							146-148					
Λίνδας ω. [illegible] – ○		24	27		39							
Ἀριστείδας + [illegible]			28									(λείπει Αἰν.)
Ἀνδρίκος ?				31								
Διόκλος				33	40-57	62-95	100-144					
Ἴστρος ω. [illegible] – ○				34-36	58							
Φ[illegible]αίνιος				37	59		149	153-154		167-8		(ἐπιτέλλει φελ. ?)
Ἀντίγονος ω. [illegible] – ○						61	98		155 ?			Αν. =
Ἴστρος [illegible]						96-97						
Ἀριστοκράτης ω. Χ. [illegible]							99	150	156			+ 9 βιό
Μάρσυας ω. Η. [illegible]							145			166		
Ἄριστος								151	154-160	163		
Νικίας									161			
Δημέας										165		
Φίλων										169		

9.IX.71
By Carolyn Koehler

	Ἀρχεκράτης II	Καλλικράτης II	Ἀρχίδαμος	Αἰνιάδης II	Αἰνήτωρ	Ἀθανόδοτος	Δαμοκράτης	Ἀγέμαχος	Καλλικρατίδας II	Σύμμαχος	Κλεινοκράτης (3)	Θεαίδητος	Νικασαγόρας I	Ἀριστόδαμος II	Ἀρίστων	Ἀριστείδας II	Ἀρετοφάνης I	Ἀρχιλείδας	Ἀρεστρατος II	Ξενοφῶν
Δαμοκράτης w/rose in O		175-6 ✓			192 ✓			205 ✓	207 ✓	215 ✓	220-1 ✓	223	231-2							
(Θέων)																				
(Ἀγηοίλας)																				
(Ἀγοράνας w/ Helios head)																				
Δίος		177-8 ✓																		260 ✓
(Κλεισιμβροτίδας)																				
Σωκράτης w/ torch			186-7 ✓	189 ✓					210 ✓	218 ✓										
Αἰνέας w/ rose in O	170-1 ✓		180 ✓						206 ✓											
(Ἀριστείδας + star)																				
Ἄνδρικος ?																				
(Δίσκος)																				
(Ἴστρος w/ trefoil in O)																				
Φιλαίνιος				190 ✓	201 ✓									241 ✓						
(Ἀντίγονος w/ rose in O)																				
(Ἴστρος □ type)																				
(Ἀριστοκράτης w/ X)																				
Μαρσύας w/ H.h. in ep. type	173 ✓						202 ✓			217 ✓				238 ✓	245 ✓					262 ✓
Ἄριστος	172 ✓					198 ✓														
(Νίκαρχος)																				
Δωρίων																		255 ✓		
(Φίλων)																				
Ὀλύμπιος	174 ✓			188 ✓			203 ✓			221 bis										
Σωτηρίδας	179 ✓	179 ✓												240 ✓						
Αἰνέας Μέτοικος			181 ✓																	
Ἀριστόκλης	182		182 ✓													250	252 ✓			
Ἴσων I			183-5						208 ✓					236						
Ἀγαθοκλῆς II					191	193				211								256		
Ἀμύντας						194-6 ✓				212 ✓		222 ✓	229 ✓					251 ✓		259 ✓
Ἀντίμαχος						197 ✓									242 ✓		249 ✓			
Ἀρίστων						199 ✓		204 ✓			219 ✓		230 ✓							
Σωστράτων						200 ✓														
Ἀριστόξενος										213-4 ✓										
Ἑρμῶν										216 ✓										
Ἱπποκράτης rose												224-6 ✓	234 ✓	237 ✓						261 ✓
Νικασίων												227 ✓		239 ✓					258 ✓	
Ἀγησίππος													228 ✓							
Ἡρακλείτος																				

2.01

2.02

| | | |

7.IX.71 3.01
— 10.IX.71

Documentation of Rhodian gold,
Persian period

1. Amphora, Baini market 1964 ✓
2. (stamp type) ✓
3. Amphora, French School in Athens ✓
4. Neck, N. museum, Athens ✓
5. Non-joining pair at Agora ✓
6. Amphora in Paphos ✓
7. In same stamp? ✓
8. joined pair in Samaria ✓
9. joining pair Gordion ✓
9 bis complete type in fragmentary handles
10 non-joining pair, Gordion ✓
10 bis joining pair? wedging not quite sure (Gordion SS 22)
11 amphora, Nisyros (publ. only) ✓
12 neck, Corinth ✓
13 amphora, Gordion ✓
14 " Telos (publ. only) ✓
15 joined pair?, Samaria ✓
16 amphora, Agora ✓
17 " Am. Cl. Hist., Jerusalem ✓ (found in Syria)
18 " Cyprus, Paphos ✓
19 " Marseille, wreck ✓
20 ? non-joining, Agora ✓
21 joining pair, Gordion ✓
22 fitting pair, Agora (pb. restored) ✓
23 neck " ✓
23 bis non-joining pair, Samos ✓
24 modelling type ✓
25 non-joining pair ✓

26 Amphora, Cyprus ✓

27 matching fragments ✓

28 non-joining pair, Rhodes (Pairs publ.) ✓

29 amphora, Agora ✓

30 amphora, Carthage (publ.) ✓

31 " Sicily (publ.) (core matching) ✓

32 " Rhodes ✓

33 " (5?) jars " ✓

34-36 " 3 (3) jars " Rhodes (only 1 has ep. st. not all have ep. st.) ✓

37 matching fragments ✓

38 neck, Paphos ✓

39 matching fragments ✓

40-57 ca 18 jars and necks, Rhodes ✓

58 matching fragments ✓

59 non-joining pair ✓ Carthage

60 " " " , Puyo ✓

61 in same stamp ✓ (many exs)

62-95 ca 33 amphora necks, Rhodes ✓

96-97 2 amphora, Rhodes ✓

98 in same stamp ✓

99 jar, Syracuse Sicily (publ.) ✓

100-144 ca 45 jars or necks, Rhodes ✓

145 neck, Rhodes ✓

146 jar, Olbia (publ.) ✓

147 " Cyprus "Kadis" ✓

148 " in Israel ✓

149 matching fragments ✓

150 non-joining pair (publ.) ✓

(3) (7.IX.71)

3.03

151 mach, Rhodes ✓

152 non-joining pair, Tarsus ✓

153 amphora, Cyprus, in Antiphr. (□ Cyprus) ✓

? 153 bis non-joining train, Agora ✓

154 matching Cyprus ✓ (○ Cyprus)

~~155~~ mach, Peng. Nus. (Kdawv. – Ἀγαθ.

~~156~~ join, Rhodes (but pab's name restored, by Cyprus) (Kdawv. – Ἀρισ. cf. stamp)

~~157~~ join, Constantia (Algarve), pubd. (Kdawv. – Δαμοκ.)

~~158~~ join, fr'ting, Rhodes (Kdawv. Δαμόκ.)

~~159~~ " Cyprus (Kdawv. – Μαρτυρ.)

~~160~~ matching Cyprus (○ w. wreath) (Φιλάνιος

~~161~~ " " " " " non-joining pair

162 join, Cyprus (Kdawv. – Φιλάνιος)

163 in same stamp

164 ? join, pab. hands missing (doubtf. restor.)

165 " broken

155 join, but cp. stamp much restored (Jerusalem) ✓

156 mach (Salamis, Cyprus) ✓

157-160 4 join, whole of peng., Rhodes ✓

161 join, (Paphos, Cyprus) ✓

162 mach, Peng. Nus. ✓

163 join, Rhodes (pab's name restored) ✓

164 " Constantia (Algarve), pubd. ✓

165 " fr'ting, Rhodes ✓

166 " , Cyprus must ✓

167-8 matching Cyprus – non-joining pair ✓ Peri[illegible] ✓

169 join, Cyprus ✓

9.IX.71

3.04

This must be by Carly Koehler
checked in mound in the cistern 26.X.72

170-1 combination stamp, Cyprus + MSBF

172- ~~jar, one handle missing, Rhodes~~; + jar, bat. handle miss.; non-joining pair, Rhodes (publ. Annuario)

173 jar, Cyprus

174 neck, Rhodes

175-6 jar, Syracuse (publ. Gentili); matching types, agora

177-8 jar from Top Kapi Saray; neck w/ both handles, Olbia cistern

179 combination stamp, South Russia

180 matching types

181 matching types

'Αλεξάνδρεια x 182 neck w/ both handles (or jar), South Russia

183-5 combination stamp, 2 in Alexandria + 1 from South Russia (publ. Pridik)

186-7 joining pair, Cyprus; jar, Daros

188 neck or jar w/ both handles, South Russia (publ. Pridik)

189 jar from Syria (Tell Biasele)

190 neck top, Cyprus

191- jar, from Top Kapi Saray ~~(2) jar top w/ one handle missing, Corinth~~

192 matching types, Agora

193 jar, Cyprus

194-6 jar, Cyprus; non-joining pair, Tarsus; jar, Cyprus

197 jar, Velia

198 non-joining pair, Agora (MSBF)

199 top of jar, Cyprus

200 neck, with top of both handles, Cyprus

201 jar, Rhodes

202 jar, Cyprus

203 matching types.

204 joined? pair, Rhodes (for publication - said to turn to "annuario")

205 non-joining pair, Rhodes

10.IX.71

3.05

206 - matching types

207 ~~matching~~ types appear with same sec. st. (rose)

208-9 combination stamp, South Russia & Alexandria

210 superposed stamps, Sicily

211 non-joining pair, Sicily

212 jar, from Catania

213-214 jars Syracuse

215 ~~matching~~ types appear with same sec. st. (rose)

216 jar, Syracuse

217 jar, Alexandria

218 jar, Israel

219 jar, Syracuse

220-1 matching types appear with same sec. stamp (rose)

221 bis top of jar

222 top of jar, Cyprus

223 matching types appear with closely similar sec. stamp (A)

224-6 ~~matching~~ types, Alexandria (2); jar, Cyprus (sec. stamps)

227 matching types

228 jar, Cyprus

229 jar, Rhodes

230 jar, in Cambridge

231-2 matching ~~types~~ (sec stamps); jar, Alexandria

233 non-joining pair, Cyprus

233 bis possibly matching types Samaria

234 matching types appear w. closely similar sec. stamp (B)

235 ~~non-joining pair~~ jar, Rumania (publ. Tocilescu, Mon. Epigr. Sculp. I)

236 jar (publ. I.G. XII, 2393, 3)

237 jar, Palestine (Athens)

238 neck w/ tops of both handles, Cyprus

239 fragmentary jar, Cyprus

10. IX. 71

3.06

240 jar from Bengazi

241 jar, Cyprus

242-3 jar, Cyprus; jar, Istanbul

244 ? on same stamp? South Russia

245 jar almost complete, Byblos

246 jar, Syria

247 jar, IG. XIV, 2393, 2. (attom. reading Delos 27 p. 291; under E 11 'Αριστοξένου ?) Italy

248 jar, Rhodes

249 jar in Frankfort

250 jar, Cyprus

251 jar, in Damascus

252-4 jars, ~~unknown~~ provenance; Aleppo; Cyprus, Hamburg Mus.

255 jar, from Syria

256 jar, IG. XVI (Dristani - Italy)

257 jar or neck w/ both handles, South Russia

258 non-joining pair, Syracuse

259 jar, Cyprus

260 jar, Beirut

261 matching types appear w. closely similar set stamps

262 jar, almost complete, Beirut

28.IV.73

263 jar, not available, publ. from Sardis

264 " " " " " "

265 " from Tanais (see letter 25.II.~~72~~ 73? from Bradford)

For 1st carbon, with reading of stamps on Rhodian jars, see file of SICILY - CENTURIPE

RHOD. CHRON

American School of Classical Studies
54 Swedias Street, Athens 140, Greece

July 2, 1977

Dear Professor Deusson:

Mr. Myers did bring the casts of the stamps on your Rhodian amphoras from Centuripe, and they have made it possible for us to correct and supplement the readings, though not quite all of the stamps have even now been read. The readings were made by Maria Petropoulakou; I have checked them enough, I think, and so probably has Andreas Dimoulinis. The typed comments to the right are mine. In these, if references are not familiar to you, see the bibliography, pp. 287-8, in the Delos volume cited in my comment on your no. II, chapter 14 by VG and M. Petropoulakou. The information in this lengthy, indexed, chapter stands on the whole, except for certain more recent revisions in 3rd century chronology, on which see Ath.Mitth. 1974, pp.193-200.

I do see that the dates assigned to the amphoras by us here do not correspond well with what you report on the rest of the pottery in these tombs. Were there perhaps later burials in the tombs? Can the local pottery chronology be reconsidered? On what is it really based? Is there a possibility of confusion in the tomb groups? Because I think the amphora dates are right.

I will be much interested to see what you make of this, and please be sure when you publish to send us at least xeroxes of the printed text on these tombs, with a xerox also of the title page of the book.

Yours sincerely,

Virginia R. Grace

9. X. 45
begun

Pairs to be entered in lists
or checked that they are there

Myl 'Αντίνος, ep- Μιλέτος
(see CXMB. file)

12. VII. 75 6.01
begin

Following PAIRS STUDY, periods G – L,
refiling in COMBS file

I begin with the fabricated cards.

13. VII Note sequence of pairs w. Φιλαίνιος:

		B.C.		
	▭ w. wreath	201	Πρατοφάνης	
	" " "	200	Ξενοφάνης	
not in COMBS	" " "	(199)	(Κρατίδας)	exp. type w. Δάδας
		~~197~~	~~Φιλόδημος~~	
	▭ " cornucopia	198	Ἴσραν I	
	▭, no drawn	197	Φιλόδαμος, Ἀγρ.	jar
	" " "	"	" Βαδ.	
NOT in COMBS	(" w. larger wreath	"	" ")	
	○ " "wreath"	"	" Δαδ.	
not in COMBS	(▭ " wreath	196	Τιμαγόρας)	
	○ " "wreath"	195	Καλλώνυμος	
not in COMBS	(▭ " wreath	194	Ἀρχοκράτης II)	
" " "	(▭ " "	193	Καλλικράτης II)	
		192		
	▭ w. "sun" or w. small wreath in exp. type	191	Αἰνησίδαμος II	mch
		190		
	▭, no drawn	189/3	Ἀθανόδοτος	jar
	▭, no drawn	181	Ἀριστόδαμος	jar

(Pairs w. Φιδαίνιος)

In the preceding list, I have filled out the previously known or guessed, pairs with other ep. types with wreath, all known. Some are really as likely as some of the "matching types" filled as pairs. Could make something of the difference between wreaths in these types.

Puzzle about the whole jars with medium:

Those in the name of Φιλόδημος and Ἀριστόδημος "197" "181" should show a difference, as they should be 16 years apart. Actually the shapes look alike, and the fab. stamps.

Not so bad

Wish we had photos. of the one (in Rhodes) in the name of Ἀθανόδοτος.

Were there 2 ep.s Φιλόδημος?

That might explain the O type w. wreaths with the same ep. name.

⊗ But only 18 counted in ABC, III.67

Notes in course of pairs' study
for analysis of fabricants of
Peiraj. period I

Homonyms

~~As~~ A few days ago I was again persuaded that there must be 2 cp. named Σωστράτος in Period II (cf. Delos 27): impossible to have ~~20~~ 16 or more years separate the jar of Ἀγοράνας (cp. Σωστρατ.) from those of Ἐδάνικος (cp. Ἀποστολίδης 222) and Μενεκράτης (cp. Μνησίων 224) in B 13:7 (ΠΘ, 81/ΜΑ).

The jars look to me date, of a period.

Also, it is a fact that the jar of Ἀγοράνας, cp. Σωστράτος I? (SS 7584) does look distinctly earlier than the jar of Σωκράτης, cp. Σώστρατος II? 206 (Gomaa, on Delos 27, pl.). It is not just the curve of the handles, it is also the relative slenderness of the necks. It is not just that Σωκράτης is more modern, because look at his earliest jar, cp. Κλέαρχος 212: it also has a relatively slender neck (and rounded angles on handles).

14.V.73 However, then there should be 2 diff. Σωστράτος named in Hellenistic types of Ἀγοράνας; no wonder for this.

Now I think there is going to be a similar trouble with Ἐμπεδίων

11.V.73

See the problem of Κλεόνυμος. We have one set at 195 B.C. (cf. clock of ABC). But also this name appears on earlier handles: there are without prep., but one is a button, and another is ⊙ with cluster (restored).
[Maybe an cp. type, i.e., of Δεονίσιος.]

12.V.73 In analogy to cp. have not (yet) marked Κλ. as a homonym.

Rhodian anomalies

Whereas ordinarily the sight of the stamps seems to be that of the fabricant, note 2 types in which it is apparently that of the eponym, or some public official:

Ἐπὶ Ἀρχοκρά(	Ἐπὶ Ἀρχοκρά(
Ἀγαθοκλεῦ(	Δοκιμου

The ep. shd be Ἀρχοκράτης I, detachable acc. to current opinion ca. 220 B.C.

The 1st fab., is he the same as the Ἀγαθοκλῆς I who names Ἀγλαομβροτος (211), Θαρσίτοδις (208), Κλειτόμαχος (205), Κλεώνυμος (195)

(Ἀγ. II, our pairs begin at 190 (ep. Αἰνήτωρ) so that goes o.k.)

4.V.73

Toward analysis of fabricants of Pergamon period and just before and after

Have taken from COMB.s file all the ep. cards of eponyms in the list of state-group G-L (list dated 11.X.68).

1.) From this list, mark the ep. names on the cards with blue dates, as entered on this list, or failing these, with letter L. 6.V.73 Mrs Richardson is doing this.

1. bis Check ✓
2. Analyze by eps
2 bis Rearrange the cards by fabricants.
⊗ 2 ter Analysis by fabs

3.) Take from COMB. files any more pairs with any of these fabricants: file them in. (they are necessary if they must be before or after this period.)

4.) Look up whether there are Perg. eponymous fabricants not included here. (Because we have no pairs with these eps.s and these fabs.) See what other pairs this remainder of Perg. fabs add.

⊗ (2 ter) at the end, make an analysis in fabs. order, entering blue dates by ep. name. So we will see if there is anything clearly (grossly?) wrong in our sequence.

Putting back into Phoebe general combinations file
the rest of the comb. cards out
in file of pairs with Perg. deposit

I find no record of having checked the rest of the Perg. pairs against the early part of the Perg. grid (before 'Αρχιεφαίτης II), but I remember doing it, with Μέδ, which Barber was here (III–IV).

So I think now all those file cards should return to the Ph. combs file. Noted later trying to put away cards of Ποδάξενος – Ἀγέσιππος that they were still out.

I have put them back into the Phoebe combs file. ~~The~~ It turned out that they had not been put away (except for one or two), not even those checked previously, but had been put into alphabetical order (now chronological). May have intended to make alphabetical list.

X → Must be made, both of the ef's and of the mentioned fabs. See if all those connected fabs are also in the Perg. deposit. See if there are other fabs in the Perg. dep. And see what other ef's they lead on to.

30.IV.73
I have made a dated alphabetical list of the ef's, by entering from the grid to the X pair-copy list (of IX.68) of the various dated years (C–K, also the latter C–L list)

Now we must do a sort of analysis by order of the fabricants of these pairs G – K, adding to hypothetical data to all their sponsors, to see which it comes out reasonably, and how much info. can be added.

Notes on straightening out
Rhodian pairs grid and
sequence results

See if pairs cards name any of the following eponyms are somewhere out of place:

Ἀγλούμβροτος
Δορκυδίδας
Π. 2
Θαρσίνοδις
Σάδαμος
Σώστρατος
Κλειτόμαχος
Ἱππικράτης
Δαμόθεμις

small papers were attached to the paper. cards like this →

In fact, some are in the file of Pers. pairs, but some I don't find. F.g. Ἱππικράτης

20. XII. 71

Might put these pairs cards into fab. order and make alphabetical list from the fab. aspect.
— Note, this has been done down through date group (δ) eph.s) J– (eps. not in earlier date-group than J, but have only single eps.s in J, which is the old III).

J covers eponymus in the Peng. def. savs 2 in H (known w. ☉)
J– covers 3 eps. only ones in Peng. def. and not in earlier colleguia

9. VII. 71

12.01

Rhodian fabricants known in pairs
with eponymus of date-groups A – J
ca. XL (late 4th – last decade? of 2nd)

	A, B, C	D, E, F	
Ἀγαθοκλῆς I			G, H, I, J–
Ἀγγοικλῆς	B, C		
Ἀγγοίδας			I, J–
Ἀγοράνας			G, H, I(4)
Αἰνέας w. [illegible]			J–
Ἀκέσανδρος	C'+		
Ἀλε[illegible]	A		
Ἀναξίδας		DE, DF	
Ἄξιος	C(8), C'+		
Ἀρι[illegible]	A		
Ἀριστι[illegible]	A (3)		
Ἀριστίων			H, I, J–
Ἀριστοκλῆς	C?		
Ἀριστοκράτης			I
Ἀριστόνικος	C+, C'+		
Ἀρχίδας		F, H, I	
Ἀσκλαπιάδας comb. type			H
Ἀστός	B (3)		
Βάκις	A (3)		
Βοῖσκος	A, B, C?		
Βούθηρος (in nec.)	B		
Δαίμων	C'+		

Ἀριστοφάνης on p. 2

9. VII. 71

12.02

belong before Ἀρχέλας

Ἀριστοφάνης	w. rosette in ⊙	C, C+
"	w. star in ⊙	D-E, E →
"	comb. stamp	D-F, E →
"	w. connection in ⊙ (*)	F (3) →
Δαμοκράτης	w. star in ⊙	H, J-
Δαμόνικος	w. dot in ⊙	D-E
"	2-line	E
Δαμοσθένης	plain round	C
"	2-line	D, DE →
Δαμω(?		A (5-ps.)
Δίανδρος		C
Δίκαιος		C
Διογένης		D-F, F →
Διονύσιος	2-line, frond	C', D
"	w. cluster in O.o ⊙	G (un mott)
Δίος		I, J-
Δίσκος	fab. + mott, fab. + ep.	H (3-ps)
Δόκιμος		G
Δώρος I		C
Ἐδάνικος		G, H
Ἐπίγονος	2-line	D-F
"	in leaf	F, G, H (alty 5-ps)

(*) Note the <u>known</u> pair has the ep. type w. connection and the fab. type w. star. So we can just say that the ep. types are as listed, in C-C+, D-F, F.

12.03

Ἐπίχαρμος		B
Ἑρμαῖος I		G (no mouth)
Εὐκρατίδας		A
Εὔφρων		A, B
Ζήνων	w. monog. in ⊙	C, C+, C'+
"	2-line	D
"	comb. in O or ⊙ (w. letter or mon)	C', D, D-E
"	w. mon in ⊙	D-E, E, F
Ζώπυρος		C
Ἡράκλειτος	2-line	A
" ?	2-line, 1-line	D
Ἡρωΐδας		H
Θεύδοτος		A, B
Θεύδωρος		C+ - H
Θεύφιλος (?)		C
Θέων		H
Ἱεροτάλης	w. dot in ⊙	B
"	in bottom	C - F
Ἱππ[		A
Ἴων		A
Καλλίας		B
Κλεισιμβροτίδας		H, I
Κράτων		C (4-fr.)
Κρέων		C+, E

12.04

Λυκόδας		D
Μαρούας	w. H.h. in bracket/frame	G
Μενεκράτης		G
Μένων	2-line	G
"	w. name in O-O	G?, H?
Μηνόδωρος		G, H no mouth
~~Ι(Δέσωνι)~~ Μ(		B
Μίκυθος I		A
" II	w. name-plate in O	D
" "	2-line	D-E, E, F
Μοίριχος	(grading)	H
Μοσ(χ(ι(		A, B(1)
Ξενότιμος		E
Ξένω(ν)		D
Ὀνάσιμος		C
Πακίων (or Μαρούας or Ἀγορ.)	H.h. in bracket f.	F, G(4), H
Παυσαν[ίας?]	(comb.)	A ?
Παυσανίας	(fab. 1-line)	D, D-E, E, F
Ποταμοκλῆς	(anomiyzidis)	D, DF
"	(comb. stamp)	F
Πρόθυμος		G
Ῥοδοκλῆς		D
Σθενυνίδας		G, H no mouth
Σιμος		A
Σιμύθης		H
Σωκράτης		H, I, J- (etc.)
Σώτας		C

12.05

Σωτυρίδας			H
Τιμο(	A, B		
Φαιστος			H
Φίλιος	C+, D		
Φιλοφρών	C		
Χάρης	C		
Χρήσιμος			H
Χρύσιππο		D	
Ψυλ(	A		

midwrt, w. rosy between lines in cf. Tyr — D-E, E

" w. sun " " " — E, F

16. VII. 71

Add at end!

midwrt. w. wrinkly in cf. Tyr — G (6), H (2)

13.VII.71

Notes on list of Ch. fab.s
known in pairs of A – J

or style of Esop

Devices are mentioned only when it is nec. to distinguish, e.g. bet. Αριστοφ. w. refer to A. w. correspon. ch. wh. are of diff. date

14.VII.73
Sat.

Following pairs study — refiling phonym cards in COMB.S file

I made a start on this yesterday, and will go on when ~~[illegible]~~ I need a job that can be interrupted (i.e. when I am already interrupted by other things, or too tired).

Not as interesting as seeing what the fabs. come to, since there is not a basic style.

28.VII.73 Sat. I have continued, putting many through eps in O.

30.VII.73 I have finished filing them.

Rhodian earlier combinations, now refiled
Pergan combinations, still out.

See notes of 29. V. 71, 3. VII. 71.

The early fabricants known in pairs have been listed alphabetically under a series of date-groups (list of 5. VII. 71) with the names of the sponges, also in a single typed alphabetical list (of 12. VII. 71), with annotation of date-group. Alphab. list has also been typed up (19. VII. 71) of all sponges known in pairs, from Period A to J (start of J, names existing in Perg. dep., but only one each — i.e. should be earlier than the others).

I have now refiled all the cards of these earlier combinations, into our general COMB.S file, which might now lack no group save those for making the grid of Perg. deposit names. This group, quite a bunch of COMB. cards, is now filed in hypothetical order of sequence chronologically, and we ought to get the grid done, or at least the little grid-cards prepared, as soon as possible so this can go back into the general alphabetical series.

* 20. XII. 71 A tentative grid was made in IX. 71, by writing the esp. names in the order laid out in the Perg. folder, and working marginally about the sponges which should precede these, by about 14 years, i.e. the end of date-group H, plus date-group G (see folder Early Rhod. Group Sequence). Fab. names entered, as best I might in notes, verbally.

17. VII. 71

16.01

Towards preparing a grid of Pergamon period from Rhodian pairs

See tentative sequence of eponyms of the Perg. deposit as laid out 29. V. 71. Kept in PERG. folder.

Prosopography cards of these eponyms were got out some time back; kept separate but in alphabetical order in the front part of the box of Rh. ep. prosop. cards

Today I put them in order of sequence of 29. V. 71, and got out all eponym COMB. cards we have for these eponyms (sorting out where there are homonyms, and making some improvements where seen to be needed on prosop. cards). These also are in order of sequence. Not got in place: comb.s with the earlier of the series ('Αγλοόμβροτος, Δαφνιδᾶς, Καλλιόμαχος, Ἀστυκράτης, Δαμόβιος) as cards for these are out for listing the period A – J.

Done 6. VIII. 71 (Not the prosop. cards)

For eponyms through 'Αρχιδάμος in this sequence ("192 B.C."), I got out also the fabricant cards, filing them after the ep. cards, e.g. of all pairs with ep. Θέστωρ, got out also the prosop. cards, put them, in same order, behind the ep.-cards. This became tiresome, especially as all the Villanovos pairs have already taken their places. Getting out these cards also, did catch some omissions.

The idea was to use these cards in physically constructing the grid. But as I trust to do this, I find one needs a much bigger place for laying out

there is available, and if I had it, I couldn't really see it enough – too spread out.

I think we should make small pair-cards as was done for the Krishna of Gwalior period. This did not work perfectly, but I find nothing better. Again, get ruled big sheets of paper, and stick small cards in place with tackytabs, so as to be able to adjust.

The idea is to find out whether the pairs confirm the sequence, or whether they can indicate corrections in it.

The reason it seemed desirable to do this now was partly that a lot of comb. cards are out (for listing the earlier Jab.s) so finding and replacing cards in the COMB. file is rather simpler.

I have now put the pers. cards again in the sp. box (but in chronol.? sequence); and the comb.s cards, in the chronol.? sequence, in one of the new filing drawers, where there is room behind the BIBLIOG. cards.

20.XII.71

(Pair cards of Pers. pert. on still out.)

The Pers. sp. cards remained isolated in front of the other sp. cards until 18.XII, when I worked on them, projected absolute dates (in blue), and filed them in their alphabetical places in the sp. file.

7.VII.71

17.01

Shape development
as seen in fab. cards now laid out as in pp. 1–14 on early Rh. fabrics known in pairs w. ep.

A. All rims are mushroom.

a.) Earliest are probably the Πιτίνου ἀποθήκης, nodes, only, preserved, like Ἴων nodes but shorter.

b.) Ἴων series, Κάγκρον ones sl. later than the jar in Rhodes, (ep.) Ἀριστοκράτης

c.) Εὐκρατίδας series; dinos, only, ἡ τῆ ἡρῶι

d.) Θεόδοτος ep. Δαμοσθένης

e.) Μίκιθος I series, ep. Πολυάρατος
Ψυλ((ep) Νικ
Ἀριστι((ep) Κλεομο(

(A-B) Βόιτκος ep. Πολυάρατος A

B Rims are more or less mushroom, or vertical-band, or heavy rolled.
Vertical-band goes with rugal too

C. Rims as in ~~A~~ B
also heavy-rimmed with rugal too
(Δ.K. sp. Tyuoks., Sutton)

D. Does have vertical-band:
see Δ aquat. (sp.) Pidowlidas
[are there any others? Seem to be
none on file.] 15.VII.71
but apts that, app'ly no more.

Rhodian early combinations – II
(see earlier list of 29.V.71).

AΔ has marked the fabricant cards with the date-group letters under the sponge names, and has put the fabricant cards by date-group letter, alphabetical under that. We have also the alphabetical file of sponge cards, separate.

I start to sort out bracketing fabricants, so having headings A–B etc. to supplement AΔ's A, B, C, C+, C′, C′+D, etc. Under A–B, for inst., are Βοΐσκος and Εὔφραν. This may find errors in assigning to periods, e.g. if Πτόλις is really A, then Δίφρος I is A–C. Wrong?

Later to make lists under of plain letters, and under bracketed letters.

5. VII. 71

Need to make study of which jars, or necks, were available. Write notes.

29.V.71 19.01

Rhodian early combinations — for date of fabricants
To be filed in alphabetically with other combinations —
some agenda

It now seems unnecessary to keep these separate since the eponymus have been sorted out in lists, with letters to distinguish date-groups. I.e. see the typed list of 22.VIII.68, index of groups A–F, with attached separate lists of A, B, etc.; and how they were sorted and what dates seem to emerge, see typescript of 24.VIII.68 and later; "Notes on the grouping of names within this list."

I have gone through the eponymus cards, in chronol. order as they are, and entered the date-group letter in blue under the eponymus's name.

→ AΔ now:

1.) put these eponymus cards into alphab. order
2.) add to these series some new eponymus cards (fr. Rhodes VI.70)

3.) put the fabricant cards into order of the eponymus named on them, i.e. they will come out as in (1) & (2).

4.) from eponymus cards, enter date-group letters under eponymus names on fab. cards

not yet ✓ ~~5.) put fab. cards alphab. by fabs.~~

filed then 6.) add in here some new fab. cards (fr. Rhodes VI.71)

I should then study the assembled fab. cards and see how their date-groups come out.

Do this before putting in (12.VI.7) alph. scan of all fabs.

We should then make lists of fabs. by period. These will be very partial lists, since they will include only fabs. known in pairs with eps.s, still they will help.

(12.VI.71)

I.e. when fab. cards are complete and marked, sort them first by date letter, and list from this by period. Many fabs. will bridge from one period to another.

When this is done, then put in a single alph. list, and type up with period letters, as has been done for eps. [9.VII.71 I now written it out in pencil. AS will type.]

Then file in with general CSMB.s file. — but first make the grid from the Perg. period before filling up the file with the early stuff