VRG_Folder__0087

3. IX. 74

In SAH

On content of this wall, and its date, see separate folder under RHODIAN, on my short Ath. Mitth. article, now past page proofs

For comments on Dip. Br. data
when Gudrun calls

Note that Miss Braun uses both Kornai and HAT data, without reconcilement;

and of p. ~~167~~ 166, and of 167, she is using Kornai data as 265-61 (for Southwick)

but middle of p. 194, (and elsewhere) she is using HAT Gp. A as ~~A~~ or before 300

During this period, preoccupation: chapter in EAD 27, further digging trench collapse SAM/RH this period (17.VII.71)

Note: Sequence of communication on strip in Miss Brain's wall

26.VI.67 she called & the EA, showed us photos of 6 SAH (1 Kuan, rest Ph) found at various levels in the Dipylon well. AB made readings for these, I entered notes on levels in the well, and periods to which the Ph. were to be assigned, and dates for the Periods as then recognised:

Period II ca 275–220 B.C.
IIa soon after 275
III ca 220–180 B.C.

I gave her also a date for the Kuang "beg. of 2nd B.C.".
We chose the carbon of all this date to which regard was given to her. Evid. she gave us also our proposed dates of the own for the contexts, cf. note of 21.VII.69 for mention of revision.

31.VII.67 She brought us prints of the SAH pictures to help, and showed me also photos of necks.

1.VII.68 She brought me a photo of a neck, inv. 35·54 [publ. Ath. Mitt. 1970, p. 151, no. 157], I mentioned to Nik. Gianp. (as it would class it as Kuan. No note as to whether handles are double) The material was, then, to go to press "in a few months."

21.VII.69 She called, bringing the readings and notes of 26.VI.67. She gave me the Roman numerals by which the strata are named; also the dates to which she assigned these levels. Her notes in t.c. pencil on our carbon of the 26.VI.67 readings, etc. The dates she gave us contained one revision of previous tentative dates, e.g. for KSA 1941 (Kuan), the earliest date had been lowered beyond 3/4 3rd, & so with proposed date of strata;

(2) (4.X.73)

3.02

(21.VIII.69)

she was then calling this Level VIII 220–180.

I called her attention to the fact that the 1st item listed for Level IV had what was clearly a wrong number — we already had this number (KŞA 1935) attached to another handle.

Her dates in general at that time:

Level IV, to ca 260 BC
(Μένων -ωνος; cf. Ἀγήσιππος, Ἀριστοκράτης)

Level V, to ca. 250 BC
(Ἀριστοκράτ/ευς)

Level VII, 220 – 200
(cf. Ἀρχίδαμος)

Level VIII 200 – 180
(Κλεῖνις Δίονυ, (cf) Δυσάνιος)

> Impt, independently;

This accord fully with my then notions, but I believed Ἀριστοκράτης still to be 3/4 of 3rd.

7 or 8.VIII.69 she called to discuss the stamps from this well. See separate note of 8.VIII.69, in which I note the corrected number KŞA 1935 2154 given to me by her. The wrong number persists in the publication. Note in [illegible] pencil on note of 21.VIII.69: she feels from about date of the levels. But KŞA 1938 may be of VI rather than V. I.e., evidently I told her Ἀριστοκράτης should be post 250.

3.03

There seem to have been NO meetings or studies by me, of the material from this wall during 1970.

21.VII.69:

29.I.71 See note in T.C. on page of which note of apparently Fr. Braun called, but to my record of it is his comment this in 263/2 Athens was taken by Antigonos Gonatas, and at this point there is a break in the filling of the wall - (at this time, I was in midst of trouble with a prof.)

19.II.71 Fr. Braun came to discuss some repaired bodies of jars found in the strata. No mention, in notes, of discussion of the SAH.

5.X.73

[On this, preparation of Kypr article, and focus on absolute dates [i.e. spring of 1971] of Phase 3 and 4]

[29.V.71 "Eps. of Perg. dep. (from sequence)" now called]

22.VI.71 I enter "blue dots" in red on the pages of 21.VII.69; i.e. dates taken from Perg. note of 2.VI.71(?) We had not yet worked out dates by individ. years, but by groups of years under D, E, etc. I "note my dots going down, but notation to sequence of levels absolutely corresponds with the sequence of the SAH therein." Dr. Willemsen says (over the telephone?) that he has the ms. ready - but it turns out to be proofs.

24.VI.71 I have asked to see the ms. of Fr. Braun to cite (extract) for items in Kypr. publ., i.e. for Kypr. Dove and for Rh. Mus. 'Apxaîoi Spoi. Dr. Willemsen came at 4:00 p.m. (as Edelstein) and showed me what was in fact

(4)

(5.X.73]
3.04

proof. He gave me photo refs. He told me these
three Levels were finally dated:

IV "old stone before 260"

V He suggests should be ca 230, but there is
has been prob 3/4 3rd.
First Mesa, bricks in this.

VII 220 - 180

I seem to remember being unable to get in any
suggestion toward Cowing dates. See the note
that went into a Kyma article (note 3).

Note on ? of "Gregian"

26.IX.73 4.01

Karin Braun, "Der Dipylon-Brunnen B1, Die Funde", Ath. Mitte. 85, 1970, pp. 129–269.

Sometime I have a few notes on this article, but no more time to hunt for them.

p. 129 Well (was) excavated in 1965, in SE corner of the great gate court (Torhof); it (was) found by Gruben, cleared out partly by Koenigs. For descr. of well itself, and excavation, see Gruben, same vol. of Ath. Mitt., pp. 114 ff.

Earth taken out in layers (?) of about 50 cm. or less. In the article these are numbered, beginning with the lowest, Ia, Ib, II, and mid above with XII. (What was above XII was investigated by Kübler in X.33; he found "Hell. and earlier (Mag. bowls in), & these finds have not been identified by Miss Braun etc.)

p. 130 Stratification in wells usually not v. satisfactory, but in this well there was a clear break between Section IV and V. Section I–IV held predominantly by unglazed (tongrundige Kannen) jugs and sherds thereof, and, beside one West Slope drinking cup, a few amphorae fr. and rims, more than 574 inscribed lead strips. Section V: few jugs, no West Slope and no lead strips; but Megarian bowls start. Section VII–XI: Mag. bowls, coarse ware, ampl. fr., stamped handles, as well as other (medieval) pottery. In highest sections, also small valuables.

p. 131 The jugs in the lower section show period of use of the well. (Which must have been an archive until discarded, before it) The lead strips, small + heavy, settled deep, and for their true count (?) are the ones found on the surface of Section IV. Those discard marks the end of the period of use of the well. IV

27.IX.73

4.02

(p.131) So the wall was not filled all at once, like some. Through section V-XII, the finds show the passage of time. The filling rose, the slope was open for drawing water, jugs are found, also Neg. bowls which take the place of kantharoi, for drinking. You see most clearly the new use of the slopes by the finds of Sections V-VI.

From Section VII on(:), much foreign material, quantities of potsherds, big piece of commercial amphora, whole Oleanos and cooking pots thrown in broken, some of their pieces in Section VIII. In VIII also bl. gl. ware the wall already shards begin big dumped there. As foreign, cf. 145-164, also the marble arm 184.

So a new destruction shown in VII and VIII, and after this one, no more drawing from the well. Development in the finds through VII-VIII, also a jug or so; then a jug-neck in IX. The filling up of the well with the cooking-ware marked the end of well as a well. IX-X appear to be about contemporary with the contents of VII-VIII. XI-XII mean less pottery + more earth, sands.

p.132

(Very kind acknowledgement follows.)

In the catalogue, I made notes on jars + SAH:

p.136 27) Neck of jar with dipinto, pl. 55, 5 "Coregon". From I

28. Neck of jar, "Greek-Italian type" with dipinto pl. 55, 5, fig. 32 (dipinto) From I in Latin! (in red) C-DV A-V SE

4.03

From I.

(p. 136) 29. underpart of a amphora of Chios, pl. 55, 8
(This is not at all clearly of Chios.)

From II

p. 141 94. "Chersonesian amphora", pl. 57, 3
(also refers only to my Stein no. item)
(but not Stein in our file both persuasion)

29. IX. 73

(Nothing from III)

From IV

p. 145 124. amphora stamp, pl. 60, 2 KGA 1940
Ἐπὶ Ἀρ[ι]σ[τ]
ω ν [ί δα] imp end
Pth.
She calls this Period II, 275-220, referring to
BCA 1952 and EAD 27. 222

(p. 145) 125. amphora stamp, pl. 60, 1 KGA 1939
Ἐπὶ Ἀγ[η]
σίππου
She calls this Period IIa ("both end 275 and not
250", v. Graen) (note of mine 26. VI. 67) 241-225

(4) (29.IX.73)

4.04

(From IV)

p.146 126. amphora stamp "KSA 1935" pl - 60, 3 7.XII.73
Μένιππος Θεσμοφορίου 222 - 217
? 224 - 210?
She does not mention that this is a round stamp and had a rose, nor that the reading had a query. She says, Period II, 275 - 226

The inventory number was wrong (already used) and had later been corrected (by V. Caggia?) to KSA 2154 — I see, note of 8.VIII.69, that it was Fr'n Braun (apparently) who gave me the corrected inv. no.

She cites here my Thaj article (on stamp of moulds). This article points out the alternative possibilities in dating. in 3rd B.C.

From V + VI

p.147 → 135. amphora stamp KSA 1938, pl. 64, 3
She says, mended from 2 pieces, from V and VI.
Ἀριστοκράτ
ε υ ς
208 - 196
She says, Period II-III (275 - 180); "3. Vtb. d. 3 Jhdt." (V. Grace)"

(29.IX.73)

4.05

From VII

P. 151

155. Upper part of a Koan amphora (pl. 67, 3)
She cfs with Villanova jar (220–180) as closest;
"prima" is Agora 55 8214 – cites Amphoras, fig. 56
and then article, from which she takes date
"ca 200 BC." (But even then this is a guess
on the date, which in any case has for the
deposit not the jar; and deposit date is
corrected Nessana I, p. 119, note * to ca.
mid 2nd (in Amphoras, fig. 1/2 2nd).

No statement that handles are double. I think
I have not seen this object.
Ht with Villanova jar seems good.

156 Lower part of Nikandros cfgs. jar (pl. 67, 4
& fig. 33)

Rf. to EAD 27
(cf. my note of 19.II.71)

157 Upper part of Koan amph (pl. 67, 2)

She cfs as earlier than P 6353 (Amphoras fig. 56)
& Koan no. 6
But is this Koan? Nothing about double
handle. Cf. my note of 1.VII.68, with
a piece of the jar. I did not then
suggest it was Koan. But did I see it?

It does not fit in Koan series

1.X.73
mon.
4.06

(From VII)

p. 152 158 Upper part of Knidian amphora (pl. 67,1)
PH ca. 159

(The neg. shows grain as in SS 11366, unpubb., called 188, and a bit later.) ("Jq").

159 Rhodian SAH (pl. 66, 4) KSA 1937
Ἐπὶ Ἀρχιδάμου "192 BC"
Ἀρταμιτίου
She calls it 220 - 180, with guild ref., and S SS 8948 (? no neg.)

From VIII

p. 156 no. 183 Knidian SAH
Διονυ(σίου:)
and
KT 447 Λυσά(νιος)
neth.
"King from 186"

↳ Λυσάνιος
should be CO III.
Thurian 188
– it should be, but she has put the "neth." in the wrong place, and not bracketed correctly. and in addition AΣ from up to end of ΟΣ for the patronymic and I failed to take it (No! this is the ending, as it appears clearly in the new. in KT 2086.)

✓ accounted by other S and Talos here

(7)

2.X.73
4.07

(Nothing from IX.)

From X

p. 160 no. 199 Lower part of a Thasian amphora pl. 67, 6; fig. 34

She finds the Villanova jar "narrower and taller" and prefers to compare the jar of ΠΥΘΙΟΝΟΣ in Hesp. III p. 202, fig. 1 (would be easier to tell with the handles and rim present).

no. 200 Lower part of a Knidian amphora (pl. 67, 5; fig. 35) She compares with Amphoras fig. 64, 2nd from left, 185+167; seems OK so far as one can see

(Nothing from XI or XII.)

Studies of classes of objects

I glanced through the other classes.

I note, under Kantharoi, end of that part, that she is using the Koehler dates as 265-61. Does she pull down the Agora HAT groups, A-B respectively? They are frequently cited. p. 167

I note, p. 181, that she is giving an absolute date to a Hellenistic bowl. I assume it was in the same level as SAH cat. no. 135 with Ἀριστοκράτης, 3/49 3rd.

4.08

Bottom of p. 189 - to p. 191, she lists the stamps, with the dates I gave her.

p. 191 — "Es gab eine Ordnung unter den Stempeln, eine Ordnung in so engem Zusammenhang mit den Fundhöhen, dass die Fundhöhen durch die Stempel datiert werden."

(she goes on with the absolute dates acc. to those days)

p. 191

However, note, p. 191, that she equates "shortly before 188" with "turn of 3rd to 2nd cent.", and so says all are "from 2nd & 4th quarter of 3rd cent." 3. X. 73 for the Kerameikos While I never did say, and the Kerameikos cannot precede 200. Nor does the Rhodian eponym 'Αρχί[δ]αμος precede 208 apparently (the date 192), and 220-180 cannot be so taken.

pp. 192

Under argumentation, apparently pp. 6 Groups A + B implies date before 300, except for late part of A.

p. 194

In general discussion on DATES, author sets out her program of study: "... wonach jeweils der höher gefundene jünger ist als der Stempel aus einem unteren Abschnitt."

Same page, she says Ils with HAT A as with for a date ca. 300. (No mention of B.)

(p. 194) 300 Uhr, is set as beginning of Period of Use.
"Das Ende dieser Zeit ist durch die drei Amphoren-stempel 124-126 aus Abschnitt IV (S. 189) auf das zweite Viertel des 3. Jahrhunderts festgelegt."

For this statement, no justification in anything I have seen. Only for 125 was a date ever proposed in the 2/4. The other 2 were - as in the catalogue - ca 275-220.

p. 195 Again, on p. 195 she picks 230 BC as date given by me for no. 135 (Ἀριστοκράτης/ους) but all that had been said was 3/4 of 3rd. She chose 230 in order to leave room/time for that famous break bet. IV. & V.

This break (i.e. accounts for Megara bowls sponging (i.e. 1st stage not present) full-armed, and for absence of the end of the Westslope Panthiaos sequence. (Again - the stamp no. 135 gives just the right points as to the lapse of time.)

She then begins V with that 230 BC. Until the next great destruction, ending the 2nd Period of Use, about 30 years pass. Many objects in Sections VII - VIII are paralleled in HAT Group C (i.e. with Deposit 190 v. Agora (HAT just says, & only 2nd.)

Repetition again of dating the Kerameikos "under Wonder on 3 gm 2 Jahrh.
ST knows of that in 200 B.C. was

a battle of Philip V of Macedon in the Dipylon. So she dates all this destruction material as before 200. He is said to have laid waste not only buildings but also grave monuments.

5.X.73

(p. 195) 196 She notes that material from high levels is not later, right through IX & X, than 200 B.C. Authors, no more gradual accumulation.

However, there are coins in XII that are Delian clerucky, and dated to 167/166 or after.

She ends this part with a summary of her dates:

	1st Period of Use	
from 300 B.C.	Fill in Section I	Blue Bo
" 290	" II	
" 280	" III	
" 270-261	" IV	241-225 of Ms Vien 224-210 222 of Apollo vidas ? 222-217 (7.XII.73)
	2nd Period of Use	
" 230	Fill in Section V-VI	208-196 Ap. Rhod " "
bis 200	" " " VII - VIII	VII of Alex. 192 VIII Rhod. to 190
	Dump	
after 200	Fill in Section IX-XII	
" 167/66	Clerucky coins 217-220 from XII	

13. X. 73

SAH in F. Braun's article and Blue Data quoted from VG

cat. nos.			Date given in section (p. 196)
Hes. Section 9 fill	124	ep. Ἀριστωνίδης	"275 - 220" 222
IV	125	ep. Ἀγήσιππος	"II a – [illegible] 275" 241 – 225 — 270 – 261 BC
	126	Μένων	"275 - 220" -224 – 210 ← the 7. XI. 73 whole area G–H 222 – 217
V–VI (2 fragm.)	135	Ἀριστοκράτης	"II – III" "275 - 180" "3/4 3rd c." 208 – 196 — 230
VII	159	ep. Ἀρχίδαμος	"220 - 180" 192
VIII	183	Κινδύνη Διονυσ[ep. Λυσα[	"Shortly before 185" (ca. 190) — – 200 (Destr. by Ph. V. of Macedon)

KERAMEIKOS — FR'L BRAUN'S WELL

29. II. 72 5

On Kerameikos well
from J. Kroll

Level I = (HAT Group A?) Hadra

II, III Min Korai 265-260

IV more advanced than Korai but still 261=
Horse tablets in IV

Largely for historical supposition, she suggests that the horse tablets were thrown away in 261 as Athens capitulated to Antigonus Gon.

"She is sort of judging."

But Jacobsen says tablets cannot belong by prosopography as early as IV 260. '50s, or '40s, or possibly early '30s. There are about 40 whose names can be identified with "real people", - Lange no. held older opinion in IV 220s, not earlier. So as your cavalry men, 20 yrs earlier, in IV 240s - ~~well~~ IV for Jacobsen (could have been thrown away later.) in our well

many coins of Ant. Gon., which circulated freely after 261 (Chrem. War) - really after 271 when he started Chrem.

* Early in century of current tableting dates, and that's what about 6 supports the early dates of Group A, B, and C.
So — not about 220s should exist in our material.

21. VI. 71

KERAMEIKOS — DIPYLON BRUNNE
Fr. Brann's stratified well

For Fr. Brann's group
from Prof. Willemsen (in connection with
[as mentioned in Kynos publ.
proof of Fr. B.'s [on fiche 3]
piece]

Level IV
should stay before 260
~~this is the beginning of Mycenaean~~
~~pottery — now below this~~

Level V
in upper
should be ca 230 — in trench
however that is to be part of
3/4 3rd
First Mycenaean bowls in this level

Level VII
220 — 180

For refs.
Kn. Diovv Athen. Mitth. 85 (1970), pl. 71, 4

Kn. Archidamos pl. 66, 4

26.VI.71 There is something another note of 20 or this
notebook, anyhow I remember noting that
I did not think the Diovv bowl was 3rd
cent — ah, it is in KNIDIAN of Perachora
III-IV, note of 26.VI.67 [copy now in this folder]

27.IX.73
Note further material in publ.:
1 "Group" unit
1 "Group Std" "

I was terribly sleepy having had a bad night.

Kerameikos notes

asked him if the knew "Dr. H. Schoen" in Berlin.

Fr. Braun said yes, she is a woman, quite young.

Fr. Braun very apologetic about the Berlin letter

Today Frau Braun came & discussed some repaired bodies of jars found in the streets by the Brunnen dig at the Kerameikos. (See notes of 21.VII.69)

They seemed to be (as now recalled 20.IV.):

1.) 1 Thasian (she had also dent. if, and said the clay is micaceous; it is 3rd cent. type with that kind of toe)
Braun, publ. no. 199 (pl. 67)

2.) 1 Knidian top, probably early 2nd? (she had thought it Rhodian, can't think why – ah, it has traces of slip) One handle preserved, no stamp; has a little rise from att.
publ. no 158 (pl. 67)

3.) 1 Knid body and toe
publ. no. 200 (pl. 67)

4.) 1 Nikandros(?) body and toe. Should see the section on this group in Delos 27. The toe looked like Nik. or Koan, but the body not so broad-shouldered as Koan of suitable period.
publ. no. 156 (pl. 67)

Thus can fix various kinds, and some did not seem to fit. E.g. the Knid. top (2), which needed to be still 3rd cent. (? date for Level VII) whereas it seemed more like Period IV – maybe.

8. VIII. 69

8

Keramikos records

Fr. Braun coming today to discuss stamps from the deposit with clad tablets — stratified well — I turn over to him for the Keramikos the carbon of the duplicate of

KGA 1969 – 2153

KGA 2154 has been assigned to the formerly wrongly numbered 1935. This is now the last number given.

22.VII

21.VII.69

Telephone Fr. Braun tomorrow at Keram.

One item from board TV called KGA 1935

Kiss Wheel is it KGA 2154

This no. is probably different in our records and not at all right

Tell me about date

22.VII

applied for 1938 KGA (AC 1570 FCC 1935)

21.VII.69 [10]

Note: 1st item was listed for Level IV as KGA 1935 – this cannot be its number, and we cannot otherwise identify it. (No photo. – and must remain).

22.VI.71 (X) Dr. Willemsen says he has no ms.; reads it in print. 7.VIII.69 Fr'l Braun tells

Notes from Frl. Braun on Dejiglow Briinn

she finds from about the date 95 levels B at 1938 m VI

22.VI.71 (X) Note my date going down, but note also the segments of the levels absolutely corresponds with the segments of the SAH thesis.

Fr'l – Braun today brought me readings of 26.VI.67, of which the carbon copy is here, also her notes, and gave me the date-equivalents for her strata, from which she also now gave me the Roman numerals. See our notations in terra cotta pencil on the ruled list of 26.VI.67.

Her dates for these strata now correspond pretty well with mine for the Crandles, I hope fairly independently. This means a change in the date in VI.67 for the Level of KGA 1941, [Level VIII] then considered to be dated 3/4 of 3rd.

24.I.71 Fr'l Braun sent this suppl. to 263/2 BC A sign found when AC

Level IV, ca. 260 B.C. probably O/K

KGA 2154 1935 -?? Μέγαν ω. mostly, is ... not in (X) G-H (ω. cpo. 224-210)

KGA 1939 cp. Ἀγήσιππος D 241-235

" 1940 cp. Ἀριστωνίδος E 224-220

Say C.T. Brauron

Level V, ca. 250 BC (or VI? (3/4 3rd)) G did begin ca 15 years after Group IS – so perhaps O/K

KGA 1938 (3/4) Ἀριστοκράτους ω. cp. 7 I-J 209-6 205-

[First Megara bowls in Level V]

7.VI.71 (X) No, P.M.F. has him "some after 197 B.C."

Level VII, 220-200 O.K. on. & VG, but P.M.F. & al. put him after 186! 24 years (to end of MSBT)

KGA 1937 cp. Ἀρχίδαμος J ca. 192

Level VIII, 200-180 B.C. very good for, which I have put "III, Late" – Level

KGA 1941 KT 447 Διονύ[σ]ου (cp.) Λυσα[ca. 190

No. 188


1. VII. 68 10,01

Keramikos fragment

Inv. no. ~~BM~~ 3554, level s. 25 - 5.00 [*] — 2/2 of 3rd B.C. Neck + shoulder of jar, offset in neck; many rolled in.

Atk. Notes 1970, p. 151, no. 157 (pl. 67). She publishes it as Koan. No stated or handle as double axe ring?

I have q.d with N. Kard. Gap for the offset, and notes that the rolled in occurs in some frags. attrib. to this group, or appears not to be disentangled from the group = see Delos 27 plate 61 (?)



[*] From well with Knd Tablets, but the Tablets were Coan ware.

This came from the Dipylon deposit, and is brought by Fil Braun. I have seen it before, but was unable to contribute (last sem.).

Publ. of this vol will be pub. in Agora-vols, shd go to press in a few months.

2. VII. 68

Today I have returned the series of earlier jars with a/folds in the neck. See P 20803, 24900, 24901, 26401 (volumes I, II) + Stone Ship shown Miss Braun — et al.?

1. VII. 68 10.01

Keramikos fragment

Inv. no. ~~BLH~~ 35·54, level S. 25 - 5.00[*] — 2/2 of 3rd B.C. Neck + shoulder of jar, offset in neck; many rolled in.

Alt. Mitt. 1970, p. 151, no. 157 (Pl. 67). She publishes it as Koan. No stated provenance or handle as double axe ring?

I have q.d. with Nikard. Gap for the offset, and note that the rolled in occurs in some frags. attrib. to this group, or appears not to be disentangled from the group = see Delos 27 plate 61(?)


[*] From well with Red Tablets, but the Tablets were blown down.

This comes from the Dipylon deposit, and is brought by Fil Braun. I have seen it before, but was unable to contribute (last summer).

Publn of this lot will be publ. in Ath. Mitt., and go to press in a few months.

2. VII. 68

Today I have retired to write a certain gain with a fold in the neck. See P 20803, 24900, 24901, 26401 (I, I, II, II) volume 1. Shall show Miss Braun → et al.?



11.026

Inv. 3554

H. 9.3

KERAMEIKOS : BRAUN, DIP.-BRUNNE 26.VI.67 12

(for original, see → KN. CHRON.: PERIODS III-IV)

Knidian chronology - (ἐφ.) Λυσάνιος

Fräulein Braun brings today photos of 6 (6) handles from various levels of the Dipylon-Brunnen. 4 are Rhodian of 3rd and 3/4. A lowest level was on ring Ἀγησίππος; as I said he could be ca 275 in a Cnidian context, the sherd stratum is of end of 2nd quarter

at middle level, an eponym of KT 447, Dio 3 type of Δίον(υσος) (ἐφ.) Λυσά(νιος), which is MSBF. I say to Miss B., late 3rd or early 2nd, at the level she says, unless slipped down, it should be 3/4 of 3rd

I don't really think this object dates before 200 B.C.

22.VII.69

F. Braun now dates context of this Knidian as 200-180 B.C. (Fine level VIII).

KERAMEIKOS –
DIPYLON - BRUNNE

DATES ARE CONTEXT DATES
FROM FR'LN Brunn Tafel 21.VII.69

Photograph
21.VII.69
13.01

Μέν]ων, Θ[ρασυφόνος] (acc.)
vase

2154
KG'A 1935
(~~Grab 54~~)

IV – ca 260 BC –





KGA 1938

T 72
Coverage
(on rubbing
on backside)
Must be
punctured.

V
250 BC

VII
220-200



KGA 1937

VIII
200-180



KERAMEIKOS –
DIPYLON – BRUNNE

(microfilm 21.VII.69)

13.01

DATES ARE CONTEXT DATES
FROM FRL'N BRUNNE TELLER 21.VII.69

Μέτωπ]ος, Θε[ραπαγίων] (mult.)
Yoss

2154
KGA 1935
(~~Summe 54?~~)

KGA 1940

KGA 1939

IV – ca 260 BC –

II.72
< overseas
(on rubbing
on hardula)
must be
fractured.

V – Ca 250 BC
→

KGA 1938

VII
220-200
→

VIII
200-180
←

KGA 1937

KGA 1941

13.02

Classical Studies



13.03b

Neg.

KER 9861

KGA 2154

7861



13.04 b

KER 9776

KGA 1939

6,50 - 600

IV



13.05b

KGA 1940

6,50-600

KER 9777

TV



1)

13.06b

KGA 1938

6,10 - 5,65

V



13.076

KER 99/9,3

KGA

1932

5-5,25

VII



13.086

~~KGA~~ 9778

KGA 1941

5,45

~~ΔΡΑΚΟΝΤΟΣ 2 g.~~

~~Herpeton 3 1934 2 Ex. N° 102~~

VIII

Original to Fraulein Braun
+ photos received.

26. VI. 67

Kerameikos

SAH from Diepolder-Brunnsaal

KGA 1939+ — IV
Rhodian Period IIa
Ἐπὶ Ἀγη[σ]ίπ[π]ου
D (241-221)
Lead 6.50 - 6.00
(shd be before 250 B.C.)

KGA 1940+ — IV
Rhodian Period II
Ἐπὶ Ἀρίστ[ω]ν[ος] ivy leaf
G (224-220)
Lead 6.50 - 6.00

KGA 1941+ — VIII
Knidian
Διονύ(σιος) club
Λυσά(νιος) (retr.)
KT 447
This should date beginning of 2nd cent. B.C.
Lead 5.00 - 4.50

KGA 1938+ — V
Rhodian Period II-III
Ἀριστοκράτ[ης]
ε ν s
Lead 6.10 - 5.65

~~KER. 9919.3~~
KGA 1937+ — VII
Rhodian Period III
Ἐπὶ Ἀρχιδάμ[ου]
Ἀρταμιτί[ου]
Lead 5.25 - 5.00

KGA 2154
~~KER. 9861~~
KGA 1935 — IV
Rhodian Period II
Μένων[ος Θε[σμοφορίου]
rose
(retr.) ?
Lead 6.50 - 6.00

[VG] Period II ca 275 - 220 B.C.
IIa soon after 275
III ca 220 - 180 B.C.

21. VII. 69

[Braun Lead] IV shd be ca 260 ~~250~~ BC (Kerameikos)
" " V " " ca 250 (Meg. Lead begins)
" " VII " " 220 - 200
" " VIII 200 - 180